

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,961	12/31/2003		Eugene George Olczak	040849-0248	6224
22428	7590	05/10/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LARI	ONER LLP	LEE, GUIYOUNG		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				2875	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ر ہے
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/747,961	OLCZAK, EUGENE GEORG	E
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Guiyoung Lee	2875	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fee This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	on of Claims		·	
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,6-10,12,14 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5, 11, 13, 15, 17 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [] a) [Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Infor	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)		

Application/Control Number: 10/747,961

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Prelim./Amdt.

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 02/16/2006.

2. In view of amendment to the claims 13 and 15, claim objections are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 02/16/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments that Yamashita fails to disclose at least a surface characterized by a first surface structure function modulated by a second surface structure function, examiner does not agree with applicant's arguments. Yamashita discloses a prism structure having two curved surface structures (See 41 in Fig. 2), and Yamashita also teaches that these two curved surface structures provide diffusion to light incident on the substrate (Col. 6, lines 18+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-7, and 10, 12, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamashita et al. (US 6,874,902 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/747,961 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

6. Re claims 1-3, 6-7, 10, 12, 14 and 16: Yamashita discloses a back light display device having an optical source, a light guide, and optical substrate, and the optical substrate having a plurality of prism structure having two curved sides (See Fig. 1 and 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamashita as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ookawa et al. (US 6,628,460 B1).

 Re claims 8-9: Yamashita does not disclose the peak angle of the prism structure and the refractive index. However, Ookawa discloses an optical substrate having a prism structure characterized by a peak angle in the range of 80 degree to 100 degree (col. 5, line 58) and a refractive index of 1.6 or more (col. 5, line 65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to adjust the peak angle and refractive index in order to enhance the luminance of the planar light source device (col. 5, line 50-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 4 is allowed.

10. Claims 5, 11, 13, 15 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
With regard to claim 4, applicant has rewritten the claim 4 in independent form including
allowable subject matter as indicated in the previous Office Action. With regard to claims 5, 11,
13, 15 and 17, the prior art of record does not disclose the claimed optical substrate having a
prism structure characterized by a cross section having curved sides described by the equation as
set forth in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2875

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guiyoung Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LGY

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800